



Electromagnetic Fields and Cancer

What are electric and magnetic fields?

Electric and magnetic fields are invisible areas of energy (also called radiation) that are produced by electricity, which is the movement of electrons, or current, through a wire.

An electric field is produced by voltage, which is the pressure used to push the electrons through the wire, much like water being pushed through a pipe. As the voltage increases, the electric field increases in strength. Electric fields are measured in volts per meter (V/m).

A magnetic field results from the flow of current through wires or electrical devices and increases in strength as the current increases. The strength of a magnetic field decreases rapidly with increasing distance from its source. Magnetic fields are measured in microteslas (μT , or millionths of a tesla).


Electric fields are produced whether or not a device is turned on, whereas magnetic fields are produced only when current is flowing, which usually requires a device to be turned on. Power lines produce magnetic fields continuously because current is always flowing through them. Electric fields are easily shielded or weakened by walls and other objects, whereas magnetic fields can pass through buildings, living things, and most other materials.

Electric and magnetic fields together are referred to as electromagnetic fields, or EMFs. The electric and magnetic

forces in EMFs are caused by electromagnetic radiation. There are two main categories of EMFs:

- Higher-frequency EMFs, which include x-rays and gamma rays. These EMFs are in the ionizing radiation part of the electromagnetic spectrum and can damage DNA or cells directly.
- Low- to mid-frequency EMFs, which include static fields (electric or magnetic fields that do not vary with time), magnetic fields from electric power lines and appliances, radio waves, microwaves, infrared radiation, and visible light. These EMFs are in the non-ionizing radiation part of the electromagnetic spectrum and are not known to damage DNA or cells directly. Low- to mid-frequency EMFs include extremely low frequency EMFs (ELF-EMFs) and radiofrequency EMFs. ELF-EMFs have frequencies of up to 300 cycles per second, or hertz (Hz), and radiofrequency EMFs range from 3 kilohertz (3 kHz, or 3,000 Hz) to 300 gigahertz (300 GHz, or 300 billion Hz). Radiofrequency radiation is measured in watts per meter squared (W/m^2).

ELECTROMAGNETIC SPECTRUM



The electromagnetic spectrum represents all of the possible frequencies of electromagnetic energy. It ranges from

extremely long wavelengths (extremely low frequency exposures such as those from power lines) to extremely short wavelengths (x-rays and gamma rays) and includes both non-ionizing and ionizing radiation.

What are common sources of non-ionizing EMFs?

There are both natural and human-made sources of non-ionizing EMFs. The earth's magnetic field, which causes the needle on a compass to point North, is one example of a naturally occurring EMF.

Human-made EMFs fall into both the ELF and radiofrequency categories of non-ionizing part of the electromagnetic spectrum. These EMFs can come from a number of sources.

Extremely low frequency EMFs (ELF-EMFs). Sources of ELF-EMFs include power lines, electrical wiring, and electrical appliances such as shavers, hair dryers, and electric blankets.

Radiofrequency radiation. The most common sources of radiofrequency radiation are wireless telecommunication devices and equipment, including cell phones, smart meters, and portable wireless devices, such as tablets and laptop computers (1). In the United States, cell phones currently operate in a frequency range of about 1.8 to 2.2 GHz (2). (For more information about cell phones, see the NCI fact sheet [Cell Phones and Cancer Risk](#).)

Other common sources of radiofrequency radiation include:

- **Radio and television signals.** AM/FM radios and older VHF/UHF televisions operate at lower radiofrequencies than cell phones. Radio signals are AM (amplitude-modulated) or FM (frequency-modulated). AM radio is used for broadcasting over very long distances, whereas

FM radio covers more localized areas. AM signals are transmitted from large arrays of antennas that are placed at high elevation on sites that are off limits to the general public because exposures close to the source can be high. Maintenance workers could receive substantial radiofrequency exposures from AM radio antennas, but the general public would not. FM radio antennas and TV broadcasting antennas, which are much smaller than AM antennas, are generally mounted at the top of high towers. Radiofrequency exposures near the base of these towers are below guideline limits (3), so exposure of the general population is very low. Sometimes small local radio and TV antennas are mounted on the top of a building; access to the roof of such buildings is usually controlled.

- **Radar, satellite stations, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) devices, and industrial equipment.** These operate at somewhat higher radiofrequencies than cell phones (1).
- **Microwave ovens** used in homes, which also operate at somewhat higher radiofrequencies than cell phones (1). Microwave ovens are manufactured with effective shielding that has reduced the leakage of radiofrequency radiation from these appliances to barely detectable levels.
- **Cordless telephones**, which can operate on analogue or DECT (Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications) technology and typically emit radiofrequencies similar to those of cell phones. However, because cordless phones have a limited range and require a nearby base, their signal strengths are generally much lower than those of cell phones (1).
- **Cell phone base stations.** Antenna towers or base stations, including those for mobile phone networks and for broadcasting for radio and for television, emit various types of radiofrequency energy. Because the majority of individuals in the general population are exposed only intermittently to base stations and broadcast antennas, it

is difficult to estimate exposures for a population (4). The strength of these exposures varies based on the population density of the region, the average distance from the source, and the time of day or the day of the week (lower exposures on the weekends or at night) (1). A study that used using personal portable exposure meters to assess exposures to different sources of radiofrequency EMFs among children in Europe found that the single largest contributor to the total radiofrequency EMF exposure was the proximity to base stations (5).

In general, exposures decrease with increasing distance from the source (6). Exposures among maintenance workers have been found to vary depending on their tasks, the type of antenna, and the location of the worker in relation to the source (1). Cumulative exposures of such workers are very difficult to estimate.

- **Televisions and computer screens** produce electric and magnetic fields at various frequencies, as well as static electric fields. The liquid crystal displays found in some laptop and desktop computers do not produce substantial electric or magnetic fields. Modern computers have conductive screens that reduce static fields produced by the screen to normal background levels.
- **Wireless local area networks**, commonly known as Wi-Fi. These are specific types of wireless networking systems and an increasingly common source of radiofrequency radiation. Wireless networks use radio waves to connect Wi-Fi-enabled devices to an access point that is connected to the internet, either physically or through some form of data connection. Most Wi-Fi devices operate at radiofrequencies that are broadly similar to cell phones, typically 2.4 to 2.5 GHz, although in recent years Wi-Fi devices that operate at somewhat higher frequencies (5, 5.3, or 5.8 GHz) have appeared (7). Radiofrequency radiation exposure from Wi-Fi devices is considerably lower than that from cell phones (8). Both sources emit

levels of radiofrequency radiation that are far below the guideline of 10 W/m² as specified by the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (3).

- **Digital electric and gas meters, also known as “smart meters.”** These devices, which operate at about the same radiofrequencies as cell phones, transmit information on consumption of electricity or gas to utility companies. Smart meters produce very low level fields that sometimes cannot be distinguished from the total background radiofrequency radiation levels inside a home (9).

For household appliances and other devices used in the home that require electricity, magnetic field levels are highest near the source of the field and decrease rapidly the farther away the user is from the source. Magnetic fields drop precipitously at a distance of about 1 foot from most appliances. For computer screens, at a distance of 12–20 inches from the screen that most persons using computers sit, magnetic fields are similarly dramatically lower.

Why are non-ionizing EMFs studied in relation to cancer?

Power lines and electrical appliances that emit non-ionizing EMFs are present everywhere in homes and workplaces. For example, wireless local networks are nearly always “on” and are increasingly commonplace in homes, schools, and many public places.

No mechanism by which ELF-EMFs or radiofrequency radiation could cause cancer has been identified. Unlike high-energy (ionizing) radiation, EMFs in the non-ionizing part of the electromagnetic spectrum cannot damage DNA or cells directly. Some scientists have speculated that ELF-EMFs could cause cancer through other mechanisms, such as by reducing levels of the hormone melatonin. There is some evidence that melatonin may suppress the development of certain tumors.

Studies of animals have not provided any indications that exposure to ELF-EMFs is associated with cancer (10–13). The few high-quality studies in animals have provided no evidence that Wi-Fi is harmful to health (8).

Although there is no known mechanism by which non-ionizing EMFs could damage DNA and cause cancer, even a small increase in risk would be of clinical importance given how widespread exposure to these fields is.

What have studies shown about possible associations between non-ionizing EMFs and cancer in children?

Numerous epidemiologic studies and comprehensive reviews of the scientific literature have evaluated possible associations between exposure to non-ionizing EMFs and risk of cancer in children (13–15). (Magnetic fields are the component of non-ionizing EMFs that are usually studied in relation to their possible health effects.) Most of the research has focused on leukemia and brain tumors, the two most common cancers in children. Studies have examined associations of these cancers with living near power lines, with magnetic fields in the home, and with exposure of parents to high levels of magnetic fields in the workplace. No consistent evidence for an association between any source of non-ionizing EMF and cancer has been found.

Exposure from power lines. Although a study in 1979 pointed to a possible association between living near electric power lines and childhood leukemia (16), more recent studies have had mixed findings (17–25). Most of these studies did not find an association or found one only for those children who lived in homes with very high levels of magnetic fields, which are present in few residences.

Several studies have analyzed the combined data from

multiple studies of power line exposure and childhood leukemia:

- A pooled analysis of nine studies reported a twofold increase in risk of childhood leukemia among children with exposures of 0.4 μT or higher. Less than 1% of the children in the studies experienced this level of exposure (26).
- A meta-analysis of 15 studies observed a 1.7-fold increase in childhood leukemia among children with exposures of 0.3 μT or higher. A little more than 3% of children in the studies experienced this level of exposure (27).
- More recently, a pooled analysis of seven studies published after 2000 reported a 1.4-fold increase in childhood leukemia among children with exposures of 0.3 μT or higher. However, less than one half of 1% of the children in the studies experienced this level of exposure (28).

For the two pooled studies and the meta-analysis, the number of highly exposed children was too small to provide stable estimates of the dose–response relationship. This means that the findings could be interpreted to reflect linear increases in risk, a threshold effect at 0.3 or 0.4 μT , or no significant increase.

The interpretation of the finding of increased childhood leukemia risk among children with the highest exposures (at least 0.3 μT) is unclear.

Exposure from electrical appliances. Another way that children can be exposed to magnetic fields is from household electrical appliances. Although magnetic fields near many electrical appliances are higher than those near power lines, appliances contribute less to a person's total exposure to magnetic fields because most appliances are used for only short periods of time. And moving even a short distance from most electrical appliances reduces exposure dramatically. Again, studies have not found consistent evidence for an

association between the use of household electrical appliances and risk of childhood leukemia (29).

Exposure to Wi-Fi. In view of the widespread use of Wi-Fi in schools, the UK Health Protection Agency (now part of [Public Health England](#)) has conducted the largest and most comprehensive measurement studies to assess exposures of children to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields from wireless computer networks (30, 31). This agency concluded that radiofrequency exposures were well below recommended maximum levels and that there was “no reason why Wi-Fi should not continue to be used in schools and in other places” (32).

A review of the published literature concluded that the few high-quality studies to date provide no evidence of biological effects from Wi-Fi exposures (7).

Exposure from cell phone base stations. Few studies have examined cancer risk in children living close to cell phone base stations or radio or television transmitters. Mobile phone base stations transmit and receive radiofrequency signals to and from mobile phones near the station. None of the studies that estimated exposures on an individual level found an increased risk of pediatric tumors (33–35).

Parental exposure and risk in offspring. Several studies have examined possible associations between maternal or paternal exposure to high levels of magnetic fields before conception and/or during pregnancy and the risk of cancer in their future children. The results to date have been inconsistent (36, 37). This question requires further evaluation.

Exposure and cancer survival. A few studies have investigated whether magnetic field exposure is associated with prognosis or survival of children with leukemia. Several small retrospective studies of this question have yielded inconsistent results (38–40). An analysis that combined prospective data for more than 3,000 children with

acute lymphoid leukemia from eight countries showed that ELF magnetic field exposure was not associated with their survival or risk of relapse (41).

What have studies shown about possible associations between non-ionizing EMFs and cancer in adults?

Many studies have examined the association between non-ionizing EMF exposure and cancer in adults, of which few studies have reported evidence of increased risk (1).

Residential exposures. The majority of epidemiologic studies have shown no relationship between breast cancer in women and exposure to extremely low frequency EMFs (ELF-EMFs) in the home (42–45), although a few individual studies have suggested an association; only one reported results that were statistically significant (46).

Workplace exposures to ELF radiation. Several studies conducted in the 1980s and early 1990s reported that people who worked in some electrical occupations that exposed them to ELF radiation (such as power station operators and telephone line workers) had higher-than-expected rates of some types of cancer, particularly leukemia, brain tumors, and male breast cancer (13). Most of the results were based on participants' job titles and not on actual measurements of their exposures. More recent studies, including some that considered exposure measurements as well as job titles, have generally not shown an increasing risk of leukemia, brain tumors, or female breast cancer with increasing exposure to magnetic fields at work (46–51).

Workplace exposures to radiofrequency radiation. A limited number of studies have evaluated risks of cancer in workers exposed to radiofrequency radiation. A large study of U.S. Navy personnel found no excess of brain tumors among

those with a high probability of exposure to radar (including electronics technicians, aviation technicians, and fire control technicians); however, nonlymphocytic leukemia, particularly acute myeloid leukemia, was increased in electronics technicians in aviation squadrons, but not in Navy personnel in the other job categories (52). A case-control study among U.S. Air Force personnel found the suggestion of an increased risk of brain cancer among personnel who maintained or repaired radiofrequency or microwave-emitting equipment (53). A case-control study found the suggestion of an increased risk of death from brain cancer among men occupationally exposed to microwave and/or radiofrequency radiation, with all of the excess risk among workers in electrical and electronics jobs involving design, manufacture, repair, or installation of electrical or electronics equipment (54). There was no evidence that electrical utility workers who were exposed to pulsed electromagnetic fields produced by power lines were more likely to develop brain tumors or leukemia than the general population (55). Employees of a large manufacturer of wireless communication products were not more likely to die from brain tumors or cancers of the hematopoietic or lymphatic system than the general population (56). A large prospective study among police officers in Great Britain found no evidence for an association between radiofrequency EMF exposure from personal radio use and the risk of all cancers combined (57). A large multinational population-based case-control study found no clear evidence that occupational exposures to radiofrequency radiation are associated with increased risks of glioma or meningioma (58).

What do expert organizations conclude about the cancer risk from EMFs?

In 2002, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), a component of the World Health Organization, appointed an expert Working Group to review all available

evidence on static and extremely low frequency electric and magnetic fields (13). The Working Group classified ELF-EMFs as “possibly carcinogenic to humans,” based on limited evidence from human studies in relation to childhood leukemia. Static electric and magnetic fields and extremely low frequency electric fields were determined “not classifiable as to their carcinogenicity to humans” (13).

In 2015, the European Commission Scientific Committee on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks reviewed [electromagnetic fields](#) in general, as well as cell phones in particular. It found that, overall, epidemiologic studies of extremely low frequency fields show an increased risk of childhood leukemia with estimated daily average exposures above 0.3 to 0.4 μT , although no mechanisms have been identified and there is no support from experimental studies that explains these findings. It also found that the epidemiologic studies on radiofrequency exposure do not show an increased risk of brain tumors or other cancers of the head and neck region, although the possibility of an association with acoustic neuroma remains open (59).

Where can people find additional information on EMFs?

The National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) website has [information](#) about EMFs and cancer.

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration website has [information](#) about workplace exposures to ELF-EMF.

The US Environmental Protection Agency website has [information](#) on power lines and other sources of EMF.

The European Commission also has general [information](#) on EMF.

The World Health Organization website also has [information](#) on EMF.

Selected References

1. International Agency for Research on Cancer. *Non-ionizing Radiation, Part 2: Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields*. Lyon, France: IARC; 2013. IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans, Volume 102.
2. Ahlbom A, Green A, Kheifets L, et al. Epidemiology of health effects of radiofrequency exposure. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2004; 112(17):1741–1754. [[PubMed Abstract](#)]
3. International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection. *Guidelines for limiting exposure to time-varying electric and magnetic fields (1 Hz to 100 kHz)*. *Health Physics* 2010; 99(6):818–836. doi: 10.1097/HP.0b013e3181f06c86.
4. Schüz J, Mann S. A discussion of potential exposure metrics for use in epidemiological studies on human exposure to radiowaves from mobile phone base stations. *Journal of Exposure Analysis and Environmental Epidemiology* 2000; 10(6 Pt 1):600–605. [[PubMed Abstract](#)]
5. Birks LE, Struchen B, Eeftens M, et al. Spatial and temporal variability of personal environmental exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields in children in Europe. *Environment International* 2018; 117:204–214. [[PubMed Abstract](#)]
6. Viel JF, Clerc S, Barrera C, et al. Residential exposure to radiofrequency fields from mobile phone base stations, and broadcast transmitters: A population-based survey with personal meter. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine* 2009; 66(8):550–556. [[PubMed Abstract](#)]
7. Foster KR, Moulder JE. Wi-Fi and health: Review of current status of research. *Health Physics* 2013; 105(6):561–575. [[PubMed Abstract](#)]
8. AGNIR. 2012. [Health effects from radiofrequency](#)

[electromagnetic fields](#). Report from the Independent Advisory Group on Non-Ionising Radiation. In Documents of the Health Protection Agency R, Chemical and Environmental Hazards. RCE 20, Health Protection Agency, UK (Ed.).

9. Foster KR, Tell RA. Radiofrequency energy exposure from the Trilliant smart meter. *Health Physics* 2013; 105(2):177–186. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
10. Lagroye I, Percherancier Y, Juutilainen J, De Gannes FP, Veyret B. ELF magnetic fields: Animal studies, mechanisms of action. *Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology* 2011; 107(3):369–373. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
11. Boorman GA, McCormick DL, Findlay JC, et al. Chronic toxicity/oncogenicity evaluation of 60 Hz (power frequency) magnetic fields in F344/N rats. *Toxicologic Pathology* 1999; 27(3):267–278. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
12. McCormick DL, Boorman GA, Findlay JC, et al. Chronic toxicity/oncogenicity evaluation of 60 Hz (power frequency) magnetic fields in B6C3F1 mice. *Toxicologic Pathology* 1999; 27(3):279–285. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
13. World Health Organization, International Agency for Research on Cancer. [Non-ionizing radiation, Part 1: Static and extremely low-frequency \(ELF\) electric and magnetic fields](#). *IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans* 2002; 80:1–395.
14. Ahlbom IC, Cardis E, Green A, et al. Review of the epidemiologic literature on EMF and Health. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2001; 109 Suppl 6:911–933. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
15. Schüz J. Exposure to extremely low-frequency magnetic fields and the risk of childhood cancer: Update of the epidemiological evidence. *Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology* 2011; 107(3):339–342. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)

16. Wertheimer N, Leeper E. Electrical wiring configurations and childhood cancer. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 1979; 109(3):273–284. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
17. Kleinerman RA, Kaune WT, Hatch EE, et al. Are children living near high-voltage power lines at increased risk of acute lymphoblastic leukemia? *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2000; 151(5):512–515. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
18. Kroll ME, Swanson J, Vincent TJ, Draper GJ. Childhood cancer and magnetic fields from high-voltage power lines in England and Wales: A case–control study. *British Journal of Cancer* 2010; 103(7):1122–1127. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
19. Wünsch-Filho V, Pelissari DM, Barbieri FE, et al. Exposure to magnetic fields and childhood acute lymphocytic leukemia in São Paulo, Brazil. *Cancer Epidemiology* 2011; 35(6):534–539. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
20. Sermage-Faure C, Demoury C, Rudant J, et al. Childhood leukaemia close to high-voltage power lines--the Geocap study, 2002–2007. *British Journal of Cancer* 2013; 108(9):1899–1906. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
21. Kabuto M, Nitta H, Yamamoto S, et al. Childhood leukemia and magnetic fields in Japan: A case–control study of childhood leukemia and residential power-frequency magnetic fields in Japan. *International Journal of Cancer* 2006; 119(3):643–650. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
22. Linet MS, Hatch EE, Kleinerman RA, et al. Residential exposure to magnetic fields and acute lymphoblastic leukemia in children. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1997; 337(1):1–7. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
23. Kheifets L, Ahlbom A, Crespi CM, et al. A pooled analysis of extremely low-frequency magnetic fields and childhood brain tumors. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2010; 172(7):752–761. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
24. Mezei G, Gadallah M, Kheifets L. Residential magnetic field exposure and childhood brain cancer: A meta-analysis. *Epidemiology* 2008; 19(3):424–430. [\[PubMed\]](#)

[Abstract\]](#)

25. Does M, Scélo G, Metayer C, et al. Exposure to electrical contact currents and the risk of childhood leukemia. *Radiation Research* 2011; 175(3):390–396. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
26. Ahlbom A, Day N, Feychting M, et al. A pooled analysis of magnetic fields and childhood leukaemia. *British Journal of Cancer* 2000; 83(5):692–698. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
27. Greenland S, Sheppard AR, Kaune WT, Poole C, Kelsh MA. A pooled analysis of magnetic fields, wire codes, and childhood leukemia. Childhood Leukemia-EMF Study Group. *Epidemiology* 2000; 11(6):624–634. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
28. Kheifets L, Ahlbom A, Crespi CM, et al. Pooled analysis of recent studies on magnetic fields and childhood leukaemia. *British Journal of Cancer* 2010; 103(7):1128–1135. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
29. Hatch EE, Linet MS, Kleinerman RA, et al. Association between childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia and use of electrical appliances during pregnancy and childhood. *Epidemiology* 1998; 9(3):234–245. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
30. Findlay RP, Dimbylow PJ. SAR in a child voxel phantom from exposure to wireless computer networks (Wi-Fi). *Physics in Medicine and Biology* 2010; 55(15):N405-11. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
31. Peyman A, Khalid M, Calderon C, et al. Assessment of exposure to electromagnetic fields from wireless computer networks (wi-fi) in schools; Results of laboratory measurements. *Health Physics* 2011; 100(6):594–612. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
32. Public Health England. Wireless networks (wi-fi): radio waves and health. Guidance. Published November 1, 2013. Available at <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/wireless->

[networks-wi-fi-radio-waves-and-health/wi-fi-radio-waves-and-health](#). (accessed March 4, 2016)

33. Ha M, Im H, Lee M, et al. Radio-frequency radiation exposure from AM radio transmitters and childhood leukemia and brain cancer. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2007; 166(3):270–279. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
34. Merzenich H, Schmiedel S, Bennack S, et al. Childhood leukemia in relation to radio frequency electromagnetic fields in the vicinity of TV and radio broadcast transmitters. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2008; 168(10):1169–1178. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
35. Elliott P, Toledano MB, Bennett J, et al. Mobile phone base stations and early childhood cancers: Case–control study. *British Medical Journal* 2010; 340:c3077. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
36. Infante-Rivard C, Deadman JE. Maternal occupational exposure to extremely low frequency magnetic fields during pregnancy and childhood leukemia. *Epidemiology* 2003; 14(4):437–441. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
37. Hug K, Grize L, Seidler A, Kaatsch P, Schüz J. Parental occupational exposure to extremely low frequency magnetic fields and childhood cancer: A German case–control study. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2010; 171(1):27–35. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
38. Svendsen AL, Weihkopf T, Kaatsch P, Schüz J. Exposure to magnetic fields and survival after diagnosis of childhood leukemia: A German cohort study. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention* 2007; 16(6):1167–1171. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
39. Foliart DE, Pollock BH, Mezei G, et al. Magnetic field exposure and long-term survival among children with leukaemia. *British Journal of Cancer* 2006; 94(1):161–164. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
40. Foliart DE, Mezei G, Iriye R, et al. Magnetic field exposure and prognostic factors in childhood leukemia.

Bioelectromagnetics 2007; 28(1):69–71. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)

41. Schüz J, Grell K, Kinsey S, et al. Extremely low-frequency magnetic fields and survival from childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: An international follow-up study. *Blood Cancer Journal* 2012; 2:e98. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
42. Schoenfeld ER, O'Leary ES, Henderson K, et al. Electromagnetic fields and breast cancer on Long Island: A case–control study. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2003; 158(1):47–58. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
43. London SJ, Pogoda JM, Hwang KL, et al. Residential magnetic field exposure and breast cancer risk: A nested case–control study from a multiethnic cohort in Los Angeles County, California. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2003; 158(10):969–980. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
44. Davis S, Mirick DK, Stevens RG. Residential magnetic fields and the risk of breast cancer. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2002; 155(5):446–454. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
45. Kabat GC, O'Leary ES, Schoenfeld ER, et al. Electric blanket use and breast cancer on Long Island. *Epidemiology* 2003; 14(5):514–520. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
46. Kliukiene J, Tynes T, Andersen A. Residential and occupational exposures to 50-Hz magnetic fields and breast cancer in women: A population-based study. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2004; 159(9):852–861. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
47. Tynes T, Haldorsen T. Residential and occupational exposure to 50 Hz magnetic fields and hematological cancers in Norway. *Cancer Causes & Control* 2003; 14(8):715–720. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
48. Labrèche F, Goldberg MS, Valois MF, et al. Occupational exposures to extremely low frequency magnetic fields and postmenopausal breast cancer. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine* 2003; 44(6):643–652. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
49. Willett EV, McKinney PA, Fear NT, Cartwright RA, Roman E.

Occupational exposure to electromagnetic fields and acute leukaemia: Analysis of a case-control study. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine* 2003; 60(8):577–583. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)

50. Coble JB, Dosemeci M, Stewart PA, et al. Occupational exposure to magnetic fields and the risk of brain tumors. *Neuro-Oncology* 2009; 11(3):242–249. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
51. Li W, Ray RM, Thomas DB, et al. Occupational exposure to magnetic fields and breast cancer among women textile workers in Shanghai, China. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2013; 178(7):1038–1045. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
52. Groves FD, Page WF, Gridley G, et al. Cancer in Korean war navy technicians: Mortality survey after 40 years. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2002; 155(9):810–818. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
53. Grayson JK. Radiation exposure, socioeconomic status, and brain tumor risk in the U.S. Air Force: A nested case-control study. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 1996; 143(5):480–486. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
54. Thomas TL, Stolley PD, Stemhagen A, et al. Brain tumor mortality risk among men with electrical and electronics jobs: A case-control study. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 1987; 79(2): 233–238. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
55. Armstrong B, Thériault G, Guénel P, et al. Association between exposure to pulsed electromagnetic fields and cancer in electric utility workers in Quebec, Canada, and France. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 1994; 140(9):805–820. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
56. Morgan RW, Kelsh MA, Zhao K, et al. Radiofrequency exposure and mortality from cancer of the brain and lymphatic/hemopoietic systems. *Epidemiology* 2000; 11(12):118–127. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
57. Gao H, Aresu M, Vergnaud AC, et al. Personal radio use and cancer risks among 48,518 British police officers and staff from the Airwave Health Monitoring Study. *British*

Journal of Cancer 2019; 120(3):375–378. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)

58. Vila J, Turner MC, Gracia-Lavedan E, et al. Occupational exposure to high-frequency electromagnetic fields and brain tumor risk in the INTEROCC study: An individualized assessment approach. *Environment International* 2018; 119: 353–365. [\[PubMed Abstract\]](#)
59. SCENIHR. 2015. Scientific Committee on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks: Potential health effects of exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMF): http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific_committees/emerging/docs/scenih_r_o_041.pdf, accessed August 15, 2015.

Related Resources

[Cell Phones and Cancer Risk](#)

Reviewed: May 30, 2022

If you would like to reproduce some or all of this content, see [Reuse of NCI Information](#) for guidance about copyright and permissions. In the case of permitted digital reproduction, please credit the National Cancer Institute as the source and link to the original NCI product using the original product's title; e.g., "Electromagnetic Fields and Cancer was originally published by the National Cancer Institute."